arXiv:1507.05692v2 [math.SG] 29 Jul 2015

Contact Invariants, Open String Invariants and Weinstein Conjecture

An-Min Li[1]

Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University
Chengdu, PRC

Li Sheng[2]

Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University
Chengdu, PRC

In [16] we propose a theory of contact invariants and open string invariants, assuming that every periodic orbit of the Reeb vector field is either non-degenerate or of Bott-type, where we choose the complex structure $\tilde{J}$ such that $L_X\tilde{J}=0$ on periodic orbits. In this note we do not choose the complex structure $\tilde{J}$ such that $L_X\tilde{J}=0$ on periodic orbits, so we don't mod the S^1 action on every periodic orbit. Thus we have to consider the moduli space of partially decorated stable nodal surfaces (see [3]). Then the moduli space of perturbed J holomorphic maps has codimension 1 boundary. But we can still define the contact invariants and open string invariants, because the integrals (40), (41) are convergent. Thanks of the exponential decay estimates of the gluing maps with respect to the gluing parameter.

1. **Symplectic manifolds with cylindrical ends**

1.1. **Contact manifolds.** Let $(\widetilde{M},\lambda)$ be a $(2n-1)$-dimensional compact manifold equipped with a contact form λ. We recall that a contact form λ is a 1-form on $\widetilde{M}$ such that $\lambda\bigwedge(d\lambda)^{n-1}$ is a volume form. Associated to $(\widetilde{M},\lambda)$ we have the contact structure $\xi=\ker(\lambda)$, which is a $(2n-2)$-dimensional subbundle of $T\widetilde{M}$, and $(\xi,d\lambda|_\xi)$ defines a symplectic vector bundle. Furthermore, there is a unique nonvanishing vector field $X=X_\lambda$, called the Reeb vector field, defined by the condition

$$\lambda(X)=1,\quad i_Xd\lambda=0.$$

We have a canonical splitting of $T\widetilde{M}$,

$$T\widetilde{M}=\mathbb{R}X\oplus\xi,$$

where $\mathbb{R}X$ is the line bundle generated by X.

1.2. **Cylindrical almost complex structures.** Let

$$M^+=M_0^+\bigcup\left\{[0,\infty)\times\widetilde{M}\right\}\tag{1}$$

be a manifold with cylindrical end, where M_0^+ is a compact manifold with boundary, $\widetilde{M}$ is a compact contact manifold with contact form λ. Let

$$\mathbf{\Phi}=\{\phi\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},[0,1])|\phi'\geq 0\}$$

---

[1] partially supported by a NSFC grant

[2] partially supported by a NSFC grant

and define the 1-form $\lambda_\phi = \phi(a)\lambda$ over $[0,\infty)\times\widetilde{M}$. Suppose that M_0^+ has a symplectic form ω. Denote by ω_ϕ the symplectic form of M^+ such that $\omega_\phi|_{M_0^+} = \omega$, and over the cylinder $[0,\infty)\times\widetilde{M}$

$$\omega_\phi = \phi d\lambda + \phi' da\wedge\lambda. \tag{2}$$

We will also consider $\mathbb{R}\times\widetilde{M}$. Denote by N one of M^+ and $\mathbb{R}\times\widetilde{M}$.

We choose a $d\lambda$ compatible almost complex structure $\widetilde{J}$ for the symplectic vector bundle $(\xi, d\lambda)\to\widetilde{M}$ such that

$$g_{\widetilde{J}(x)}(h,k) = d\lambda(x)(h,\widetilde{J}(x)k) \tag{3}$$

for all $x\in\widetilde{M},\ h,k\in\xi_x$, defines a smooth fibrewise metric for ξ. Denote by $\Pi : T\widetilde{M}\to\xi$ the projection along X. We define a Riemannian metric $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on $\widetilde{M}$ by

$$\langle h,k\rangle = \lambda(h)\lambda(k) + g_{\widetilde{J}}(\Pi h,\Pi k) \tag{4}$$

for all $h,k\in T\widetilde{M}$.

Given a $\widetilde{J}$ as above there is an associated almost complex structure J on $\mathbb{R}\times\widetilde{M}$ defined by

$$J\mid_\xi = \tilde{J},\quad JX = -\frac{\partial}{\partial a},\quad J(\frac{\partial}{\partial a}) = X, \tag{5}$$

where a is the canonical coordinate in $\mathbb{R}$.

It is easy to check that J defined by (15) is ω_ϕ-tame over the cylinder end. We can choose an almost complex structure J on M^+ such that J is tamed by ω and over the cylinder end J is given by (15).

For any $\phi\in\Phi$

$$\langle v,w\rangle_{\omega_\phi} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_\phi(v,Jw) + \omega_\phi(w,Jv)\right)\qquad\forall\ \ v,w\in TN \tag{6}$$

defines a Riemannian metric on N. Note that $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\omega_\phi}$ is not complete. We choose another metric $(\ ,\ )$ on N such that

$$(\ ,\ ) = \langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\omega_\phi}\quad on\ \ M_0^+ \tag{7}$$

and over the tubes

$$((a,v),(b,w)) = ab + \lambda(v)\lambda(w) + g_{\widetilde{J}}(\Pi v,\Pi w). \tag{8}$$

It is easy to see that $(\ ,\ )$ is a complete metric on N.

1.3. **Neighbourhoods of Lagrangian submanifolds.**

Let (M,ω) be a compact symplectic manifold, $L\subset M$ be a compact Lagrangian submanifold. The following Theorem is well-known.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M,ω) be a symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$, and L be a compact Lagrangian submanifold. Then there exists a neighbourhood $U\subset T^*L$ of the zero section, a neighbourhood $V\subset M$ of L, and a diffeomorphism $\phi: U\to V$ such that*

$$\phi^*\omega = -d\Lambda,\quad \phi|_L = id, \tag{9}$$

where Λ is the canonical Liouville form.

Let $(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ be a local coordinate system on $O \subset L$, there is a canonical coordinates

$$(x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n)$$

on $T^*O = T^*L|_O$. In terms of this coordinates the Liouville form can be written as

$$\Lambda = \sum y_i dx_i.$$

Let $\pi : T^*L \to L$ be the canonical projection. Suppose that given a Riemannian metric on L, in terms of the coordinates $x_1, ..., x_n$, $g_L = \sum\limits_{i,j=1}^{n} g_{ij} dx_i dx_j$. It naturally induced a metric on T^*L.

Denote by $S^{n-1}(1)$ (resp.$B_1(0)$) the Euclidean unit sphere (resp. the Euclidean unit ball). Consider the coordinates transformation between the sphere coordinates and the Cartesian coordinate

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : (0, 1] \times S^{n-1}(1) &\to B_1(0) \\ (r, \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_{n-1}) &\to (y_1, \cdots, y_n). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Consider the unit sphere bundle $\widetilde{M}$ and the unit ball bundle $\mathbb{D}_1(T^*L)$ in T^*L, in terms of the coordinates $(x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n)$

$$\widetilde{M}|_{\pi^{-1}(O)} = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n) \in \pi^{-1}(O) \mid \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g^{ij}(x) y_i y_j = 1\}, \tag{11}$$

$$\mathbb{D}_1(T^*L)|_{\pi^{-1}(O)} = \{(x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n) \in \pi^{-1}(O) \mid \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g^{ij}(x) y_i y_j \leq 1\}. \tag{12}$$

Denote $\lambda = -\Lambda \mid_{\widetilde{M}}$. We have

$$\Lambda = -|\mathbf{y}|\lambda,$$

where $| \cdot |$ denotes the Euclidean norm. λ is a contact form, i.e., $(\widetilde{M}, \lambda)$ is a contact manifold. Put $\xi = \ker(\lambda)$. Denote $X = -\sum g^{ij} y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \mid_{\widetilde{M}}$. Then X is the Reeb vector field. Denote $z = 1 - |\mathbf{y}|$. By Theorem 1.1 we consider $M - L$ as

$$M^+ = M_0^+ \bigcup \{(0, 1] \times \widetilde{M}\}$$

with the symplectic form

$$\omega = -d\Lambda = (1 - z)d\lambda - dz \wedge \lambda, \tag{13}$$

where $M^+ := M - L$ and M_0^+ is a compact symplectic manifold with boundary.

We choose the *neck stretching technique*. Let $\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1)$ be a smooth function satisfying, for any $k > 0$,

$$\phi' > 0, \ \lim_{a \to \infty} \phi(a) = 1, \ \phi(0) = 0.$$

Through ϕ we consider M^+ to be $M^+ = M_0^+ \bigcup \{[0, \infty) \times \widetilde{M}\}$ with symplectic form $\omega_\phi|_{M_0^+} = \omega$, and over the cylinder $[0, \infty) \times \widetilde{M}$

$$\omega_\phi = -d\Lambda = (1 - \phi)d\lambda - \phi' da \wedge \lambda. \tag{14}$$

Denote

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \{\phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1) | \phi' > 0\}.$$

We choose a $d\lambda$ compatible almost complex structure $\widetilde{J}$ for the symplectic vector bundle $(\xi, d\lambda) \to \widetilde{M}$. There is an associated almost complex structure J on $\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{M}$ defined by

$$J\,|_{\xi} = \tilde{J}, \quad JX = \frac{\partial}{\partial a}, \quad J(\frac{\partial}{\partial a}) = -X, \tag{15}$$

where a is the canonical coordinate in $[0, \infty)$.

2. J-holomorphic maps with finite energy

Let (Σ, i) be a compact Riemann surface and $P \subset \Sigma$ be a finite collection of puncture points. Denote $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma} = \Sigma \backslash P$. Let $u : \overset{\circ}{\Sigma} \to N$ be a J-holomorphic map, i.e., u satisfies

$$du \circ i = J \circ du. \tag{16}$$

We write $u = (a, \widetilde{u})$ and define

$$\widetilde{E}(u) = \int_{\Sigma} \widetilde{u}^* d\lambda. \tag{17}$$

For any J-holomorphic map $u : \overset{\circ}{\Sigma} \to N$ and any $\phi \in \Phi$ the energy $E_\phi(u)$ is defined by

$$E_\phi(u) = \int_{\Sigma} u^* \omega_\phi. \tag{18}$$

Let $z = e^{s + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}t}$. One computes

$$u^*\omega_\phi = (\phi d\lambda\left((\pi\widetilde{u})_s, (\pi\widetilde{u})_t\right)) + \phi'(a_s^2 + a_t^2))ds \wedge dt, \tag{19}$$

which is a nonnegative integrand. Following [11] we impose an energy condition on u. A J-holomorphic map $u : \overset{\circ}{\Sigma} \to N$ is called a finite energy J-holomorphic map if over the cylinder end

$$\sup_{\phi \in \Phi} \left\{ \int_{\Sigma} u^* \omega_\phi \right\} + \int_{\Sigma} u^* d\lambda < \infty. \tag{20}$$

For a J-holomorphic map $u : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{M}$ we write $u = (a, \widetilde{u})$ and define

$$\widetilde{E}(u) = \int_{\Sigma} \widetilde{u}^* d\lambda. \tag{21}$$

Denote

$$\widetilde{E}(s) = \int_s^\infty \int_{S^1} \widetilde{u}^*(d\lambda).$$

Then

$$\widetilde{E}(s) = \int_s^\infty \int_{S^1} |\Pi\widetilde{u}_t|^2 ds dt,$$

$$\frac{d\widetilde{E}(s)}{ds} = -\int_{S^1} |\Pi\widetilde{u}_t|^2 dt. \tag{22}$$

Here and later we use $|\cdot|$ denotes the norm with respect to the metric defined by (8).

Following Hofer et al. [3] we assume that

Condition A. the almost complex structure J either nondegenerate or of Bott-type.

The following theorems are well-known:

Theorem 2.1. *Denote* $\mathbb{D}_1(0) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} |\ |z| < 1\}$. *Assume that* **Condition A** *holds. Let* $u = (a, \widetilde{u}) : \mathbb{D}_1(0) \to \mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{M}$ *be a nonconstant J-holomorphic map with finite energy. Put* $z = e^{-s+2\pi\sqrt{-1}t}$. *Then*

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \widetilde{u}(s,t) = x(kTt)$$

in $C^\infty(S^1)$ *for some* kT*-periodic orbit* x *of the Reeb vector field.*

Following Hofer (see [11]) we introduce a convenient local coordinates $(\vartheta, w^1, ..., w^{2n-2})$ around the periodic orbit x, we call it a pseudo-Darboux coordinate system, such that

$$\lambda = f\lambda_0, \tag{23}$$

where $\lambda_o = d\vartheta + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w^i dw^{n-1+i}$ and $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function satisfying

$$f(\vartheta, 0) = T, \quad df(\vartheta, 0) = 0 \tag{24}$$

for all $\vartheta \in S^1$.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that* **Condition A** *holds. Let* $u = (a, \widetilde{u}) : \mathbb{D}_1(0) \to \mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{M}$ *be as in Theorem 2.1. Then there are constants* ℓ_0, ϑ_0 *and* $0 < \mathfrak{c} < \frac{1}{2}$ *such that for all* $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$

$$|\partial^{\mathbf{n}}[a(s,t) - kTs - \ell_0]| \leq \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{n}} e^{-\mathfrak{c}|s|} \tag{25}$$

$$|\partial^{\mathbf{n}}[\vartheta(s,t) - kt - \vartheta_0]| \leq \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{n}} e^{-\mathfrak{c}|s|} \tag{26}$$

$$|\partial^{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{w}(s,t)| \leq \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{n}} e^{-\mathfrak{c}|s|}, \tag{27}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{n}}$ *are constants.*

3. **Weighted sobolev norms**

Consider $\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{M}$ and $M^+ = M_0^+ \bigcup \left\{[0,\infty) \times \widetilde{M}\right\}$. Let N be one of $\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{M}$ and M^+. Suppose that $\Sigma = \bigcup_v \Sigma_v$ is Riemann surface with nodal points $\{q_1, \cdots, q_\mathfrak{z}\}$, puncture points $\{p_1, \cdots, p_\nu\}$ and $u : \Sigma \to \bigcup N_i$ is a continuous map such that the restriction of u to each smooth component is smooth, where $\bigcup N_i$ denotes the union of some copy of N. We choose cylinder coordinates (s,t) on Σ near each nodal point and each puncture point. We choose a local pseudo-Darboux coordinate system near each periodic orbit on N. Let $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma} = \Sigma - \{q_1, \cdots, q_\mathfrak{z}, p_1, \cdots, p_\nu\}$.

Over each tube the linearized operator D_u takes the following form

$$D_u = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + J_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + S = \bar{\partial}_J + S. \tag{28}$$

By exponential decay we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{k+l}}{\partial s^k \partial t^l} S \right| \leq C_{k,l} e^{-\mathfrak{c}s}$$

for some constant $C_{k,l} > 0$ for s big enough. Therefore, the operator $H_s = J_0 \frac{d}{dt} + S$ converges to $H_\infty = J_0 \frac{d}{dt}$. Obviously, the operator D_u is not Fredholm operator because over each puncture and node the operator $H_\infty = J \frac{D}{dt}$ has zero eigenvalue. The $\ker H_\infty$ consists of constant vectors. To recover a Fredholm theory we use weighted function spaces. We choose a weight α for each end. Fix a positive function W on Σ which has order equal to $e^{\alpha|s|}$ on each end, where α is a small constant such that $0 < \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and over each end $H_\infty - \alpha = J_0 \frac{d}{dt} - \alpha$ is invertible. We will write the weight function simply as $e^{\alpha|s|}$. Denote by $C(\Sigma; u^*T(\bigcup N_i))$ all tangent vector fields h on $\bigcup N_i$ along u satisfying

(a) $h \in C^0(\Sigma, u^*T(\bigcup N_i))$,

(b) the restriction of h to each smooth component is smooth.

For any section $h \in C(\Sigma; u^*T(\bigcup N_i))$ and section $\eta \in \Omega^{0,1}(u^*T(\bigcup N_i))$ we define the norms

$$\|h\|_{1,p,\alpha} = \sum_v \left(\int_{\Sigma_v} (|h|^p + |\nabla h|^p) d\mu\right)^{1/p} + \sum_v \left(\int_{\Sigma_v} e^{2\alpha|s|}(|h|^2 + |\nabla h|^2) d\mu\right)^{1/2} \tag{29}$$

$$\|\eta\|_{p,\alpha} = \sum_v \left(\int_{\Sigma_v} |\eta|^p d\mu\right)^{1/p} + \sum_v \left(\int_{\Sigma_v} e^{2\alpha|s|}|\eta|^2 d\mu\right)^{1/2} \tag{30}$$

for $p \geq 2$, where all norms and covariant derivatives are taken with respect to the metric $(\ ,\ )$ on $u^*T(\bigcup N_i)$ defined in (8), and the metric on $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$. Denote

$$\mathcal{C}(\Sigma; u^*T(\bigcup N_i)) = \{h \in C(\Sigma; u^*T(\bigcup N_i)); \|h\|_{1,p,\alpha} < \infty\}, \tag{31}$$

$$\mathcal{C}(u^*T(\bigcup N_i) \otimes \wedge^{0,1}) = \{\eta \in \Omega^{0,1}(u^*T(\bigcup N_i)); \|\eta\|_{p,\alpha} < \infty\}. \tag{32}$$

Denote by $W^{1,p,\alpha}(\Sigma; u^*T(\bigcup N_i))$ and $L^{p,\alpha}(u^*T(\bigcup N_i) \otimes \wedge^{0,1})$ the completions of $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma; u^*T(\bigcup N_i))$ and $\mathcal{C}(u^*T(\bigcup N_i) \otimes \wedge^{0,1})$ with respect to the norms (29) and (30) respectively. Then the operator $D_u : W^{1,p,\alpha} \to L^{p,\alpha}$ is a Fredholm operator.

For each bounded nodal q_i, denote $\mathbb{H}_{q_i} = T_{q_i}N$, for each unbounded nodal q_i, denote $\mathbb{H}_{q_i} = T_{q_i}\widetilde{M} \oplus (span\{\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\}$. Put

$$\mathbb{H} = \left(\oplus_{j=1}^{\nu}(T_{p_j}\widetilde{M} \oplus (span\{\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\})\right) \bigoplus (\oplus_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{I}}\mathbb{H}_{q_i})),$$

$$h_0 = (h_{10}, ..., h_{\nu 0}, h_{(1+\nu)0}, ..., h_{(\mathfrak{I}+\nu)0}).$$

h_0 may be considered as a vector field in the coordinate neighborhood. We fix a cutoff function ρ:

$$\rho(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & if\ |s| \geq d, \\ 0, & if\ |s| \leq \frac{d}{2} \end{cases}$$

where d is a large positive number. Put

$$\hat{h}_0 = \rho h_0.$$

Then for d big enough $\hat{h}_0$ is a section in $C^\infty(\Sigma; u^*TN)$ supported in the tube $\{(s,t)||s| \geq \frac{d}{2}, t \in S^1\}$. Denote

$$\mathcal{W}^{1,p,\alpha} = \{h + \hat{h}_0 | h \in W^{1,p,\alpha}, h_0 \in \mathbb{H}\}.$$

We define the weighted Sobolev norm on $\mathcal{W}^{1,p,\alpha}$ by

$$\|(h, \hat{h}_0)\|_{\Sigma,1,p,\alpha} = \|h\|_{\Sigma,1,p,\alpha} + |h_0|.$$

Obviously, the operator $D_u : \mathcal{W}^{1,p,\alpha} \to L^{p,\alpha}$ is also a Fredholm operator.

4. **Moduli spaces of J-holomorphic maps**

4.1. **Boundary conditions.** Consider the symplectic manifold with cylindrical end

$$M^+ = M_0^+ \bigcup \left\{[0,\infty)\times \widetilde{M}\right\}.$$

Let $((\Sigma,\mathbf{j});\mathbf{y},\mathbf{p})$ be a connected semistable curve with m marked points $\mathbf{y}=(y_1,...,y_m)$ and ν puncture points $\mathbf{p}=(p_1,...,p_\nu)$, and $u:\Sigma\to M^+$ be a J-holomorphic map. Let $\Sigma=\bigcup_{v=1}^{d}(\Sigma_v,j_v)$ where (Σ_v,j_v) is a smooth Riemann surface and $\pi_v:\Sigma_v\to\Sigma$ is a continuous map. To describe the boundary conditions we consider two different cases separately:

Case A . Moduli space of J-holomorphic maps in contact geometry.

Let $(\widetilde{M},\lambda)$ be a compact contact manifold. Suppose that there exists a compact submanifold $\mathcal{F}\subset\widetilde{M}$ of dimension $\geq\ 2$ satisfying

(a) $d\lambda|_{\mathcal{F}}=0$,

(b) every periodic orbit of the Reeb vector field lies in $\mathcal{F}$.

Let $[c_i], i=1,\cdots,\mathfrak{a}$ be a bases of $H_1(\mathcal{F};\mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 4.1. *Let $\mathbf{p}=(p_1,\cdots,p_\nu)$ be the order puncture points. We assign a weight $\overrightarrow{\eta}$ to $\mathbf{p}$: $\overrightarrow{\eta}:\mathbf{p}\to\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{\oplus\mathfrak{a}}$ assigning a $\lambda_i=\sum_{l=1}^{\mathfrak{a}}\eta_{il}[c_l]$ to each puncture point p_i, where $\eta_{il}\in\mathbb{Z}$. Choose the cylinder coordinates (s_i,t_i) near p_i. We call a J-holomorphic map u satisfies $(\overrightarrow{\eta})$ boundary condition if u satisfies*

$$\lim_{s_i\to\infty}u(s_i,S^1)\subset\mathcal{F},\ \ \forall\, 1\leq i\leq\nu, \tag{33}$$

$$[\lim_{s_i\to\infty}u(s_i,S^1)]=\eta_i,\ \ \forall\, 1\leq i\leq\nu. \tag{34}$$

Case B. Moduli space of J-holomorphic maps in (M,L).
As we show in section §1.3 that $M-L$ can be considered as $M^+=M_0^+\bigcup\left\{[0,\infty)\times\widetilde{M}\right\}$. Let $[c_i], i=1,\cdots,\mathfrak{a}$ is a bases in $H_1(L;\mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 4.2. *Let $\mathbf{p}=(p_1,\cdots,p_\nu)$ be the order puncture points. We assign a weight $\overrightarrow{\mu}$ to $\mathbf{p}$: $\overrightarrow{\mu}:\mathbf{p}\to\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{\oplus\mathfrak{a}}$ assigning a $\mu_i=\sum_{l=1}^{\mathfrak{a}}\mu_{il}[c_l]$ to each puncture point p_i, where $\mu_{il}\in\mathbb{Z}$. Choose the cylinder coordinates (s_i,t_i) near p_i. We call a J-holomorphic map u satisfies $(\overrightarrow{\mu})$ boundary condition if u satisfies*

$$[\pi(\lim_{s_i\to\infty}u(s_i,S^1))]=\mu_i,\ \ \forall\, 1\leq i\leq\nu, \tag{35}$$

where $\pi:T^*L\to L$ is the canonical projection.

4.2. **Homology. Case A .** We fix $A \in H^2(M^+, \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $\partial A = \sum \eta_i$. Consider a J-holomorphic map u satisfying

$$[u(\Sigma)] = A. \tag{36}$$

We show that the homology class A give a bound of Energy. To simplify notation we assume that $\nu = 1$. Let $(u, (\Sigma, \mathbf{j}), \mathbf{y}, p)$ be a J-holomorphic map. By Theorem 2.1 $\tilde{u}$ converges to a kT-periodic orbit $x(kTt)$ as z tends to p. We construct a connected surface $W \subset \mathcal{F}$ with boundary $x(kTt)$. Then $u(\Sigma) \cup W$ is a closed surface in M^+ and

$$[u(\Sigma) \cup W] \in H^2(M^+; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Denote $\bar{A} = [u(\Sigma) \cup W]$. By $d\lambda|_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$ we have

$$\omega(\bar{A}) = \int_{u(\Sigma)} \omega + \int_W \omega = E_\phi(u) + \int_W d\lambda = E_\phi(u). \tag{37}$$

Let $W' \subset \mathcal{F}$ be another surface with boundary x, denote $\bar{A}' = [u(\Sigma) \cup W'] \in H^2(M^+; \mathbb{Z})$. We have $\omega(\bar{A}) = \omega(\bar{A}') = E_\phi(u)$, that is, $E_\phi(u)$ is independent of the choice of W in $\mathcal{F}$.

Case B . Let $A \in H^2(M, L; \mathbb{Z})$ be a fixed homology class satisfying $\partial A = \sum \mu_i$. We have the same results.

5. **Compactness theorems**

5.1. **Holomorphic blocks in M^+.** Let $((\Sigma, \mathbf{j}); \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p})$ be a connected semistable curve with m marked points $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, ..., y_m)$ and ν puncture points $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, ..., p_\nu)$. Let $u : \Sigma \to M^+$ be a J-holomorphic map with finite energy. Suppose that $u(z)$ converges to a $k_i \cdot T_i$-periodic orbit $x(k_i T_i t)$ as z tends to p_i.

Definition 5.1. *A J-holomorphic map $(u; ((\Sigma, \mathbf{j}), \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}))$ is said to be stable if for each v one of the following conditions holds:*

(1). $u \circ \pi_{\Sigma_v} : \Sigma_v \to M^+$ *is not a constant map.*

(2). *Let val_v be the number of special points on Σ_v which are nodal points, marked points or puncture points. Then $val_v + 2g_v \geq 3$.*

Definition 5.2. *Two stable J-holomorphic maps $\Gamma = (u, (\Sigma, \mathbf{j}), \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p})$ and $\check{\Gamma} = (\check{u}, (\check{\Sigma}, \check{\mathbf{j}}), \check{\mathbf{y}}, \check{\mathbf{p}})$ is called equivalent if there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi : \Sigma \to \check{\Sigma}$ such that it can be lifted to bi-holomorphic isomorphisms $\varphi_{vw} : (\Sigma_v, j_v) \to (\check{\Sigma}_w, \check{j}_w)$ for each component Σ_v of Σ, and*

(1) $\varphi(y_i) = \check{y}_i$, $\varphi(p_j) = \check{p}_j$ *for any* $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq \nu$,

(2) $\check{u} \circ \varphi = u$.

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_A(M, \mathcal{F}; g, m{+}\nu, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}, \overrightarrow{\mu})$ the moduli space of equivalence classes of all J-holomorphic curves in M^+ representing the homology class A and satisfying $(\overrightarrow{\mu})$ boundary condition.

Lemma 5.3. *There is a constant $C > 0$ depending on A and $\overrightarrow{\mu}$ such that for any $b = (u; (\Sigma, j), \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}) \in \mathcal{M}_A(M, \mathcal{F}; g, m+\nu, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}, \overrightarrow{\mu})$ we have, over cylinder end,*

$$E_\phi(u) + \int_\Sigma u^* d\lambda \leq C. \tag{38}$$

The $D_u : \mathcal{W}^{1,p,\alpha} \to L^{p,\alpha}$ is a Fredholm operator with $ind = dim(ker D_u) - dim(coker D_u)$. Put

$$Ind^L = ind + 6(g-6) + 2(m+\nu).$$

The virtual dimension of $\mathcal{M}_A(M, \mathcal{F}; g, m+\nu, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}, \overrightarrow{\mu})$ is Ind^L.

5.2. **Holomorphic blocks in $\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{M}$.** In order to compactify the Moduli space $\mathcal{M}_A(M, \mathcal{F}; g, m+\nu, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}, \overrightarrow{\eta})$ and $\mathcal{M}_A(M, L; g, m+\nu, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}, \overrightarrow{\mu})$ we need to consider J-holomorphic maps into $\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{M}$.

Let $((\Sigma, \mathbf{j}); \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}^+, \mathbf{p}^-)$ be a connected semistable curve with m marked points $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, ..., y_m)$ and $\nu^\pm$ puncture points $\mathbf{p}^+ = (p_1^+, ..., p_{\nu^+}^+)$, $\mathbf{p}^- = (p_1^-, ..., p_{\nu^-}^-)$, and $u : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{M}$ be a J-holomorphic map. Suppose that $u(z)$ converges to a $k_i^\pm \cdot T_{i^\pm}$-periodic orbit $x_{k_i^\pm}$ as z tends to $p_i^\pm$.

There is a $\mathbb{R}$ action, which induces a $\mathbb{R}$-action on the moduli space of J-holomorphic maps. We need mod this action.

Definition 5.4. *Two J-holomorphic maps $\Gamma = (u, (\Sigma, \mathbf{j}), \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}^+, \mathbf{p}^-)$ and $\check{\Gamma} = (\check{u}, (\check{\Sigma}, \check{\mathbf{j}}), \check{\mathbf{y}}, \check{\mathbf{p}}^+, \check{\mathbf{p}}^-)$ are called equivalent if there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi : \Sigma \to \check{\Sigma}$ such that it can be lifted to bi-holomorphic isomorphisms $\varphi_{v\check{v}} : (\Sigma_v, j_v) \to (\check{\Sigma}_{\check{v}}, j_{\check{v}})$ for each component Σ_v of Σ, and*

- **(1)** $\varphi(y_i) = \check{y}_i$, $\varphi(p_j^+) = \check{p}_j{}^+$, $\varphi(p_l^-) = \check{p}_l{}^-$ *for any* $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq \nu^+$, $1 \leq l \leq \nu^-$; u *and* $\check{u} \circ \varphi$ *converges to the same periodic orbit* $x_{k_i^\pm}$ *at* z *tends to* $p_i^\pm$;
- **(2)** $\check{a} \circ \varphi = a + C$, $\check{\tilde{u}} \circ \varphi = \tilde{u}$ *for some constant* C;

Definition 5.5. *A J-holomorphic map $(u; ((\Sigma, \mathbf{j}), \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}))$ is said to be stable if for each v one of the following conditions holds:*

(1). $\tilde{E}(u \circ \pi_{\Sigma_v}) \neq 0$,

(2). *Let val_v be the number of special points on Σ_v which are nodal points, marked points or puncture points. Then $val_v + 2g_v \geq 3$.*

For any $A \in H^2(\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{M}, \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{Z})$ we define $d\lambda(A)$ as following: let $v : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \to \mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{M}$ be a C^∞ map such that $[v(\mathbb{R} \times S^1)] = A$, we define $d\lambda(A) := \int_{\mathbb{R} \times S^1} v^*(d\lambda)$.

We fix $A \in H^2(\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{M}, \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbf{k}^\pm = (k_1^\pm, ..., k_{\nu^\pm}^\pm)$ satisfying

$$d\lambda(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu^+} k_i^+ \cdot T_{i^+} - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu^-} k_i^- \cdot T_{i^-}. \tag{39}$$

We define $\mathcal{M}_A(\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{M}, g, m + \nu^+ + \nu^-, \mathbf{k}^-, \mathbf{k}^+)$ to be the space of equivalence classes of all stable J-holomorphic maps in $\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{M}$ representing A and converging to a $k_i^\pm \cdot T_{i^\pm}$-periodic orbits as z tends to $p_i^\pm$. For any $(u, \Sigma, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}^+, \mathbf{p}^-) \in \mathcal{M}_A(\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{M}, g, m + \nu^+ + \nu^-, \mathbf{k}^-, \mathbf{k}^+)$, by Stoke's formula we have

$$d\lambda(A) = \int_\Sigma u^* d\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu^+} \lambda(k_i^+ x_i^+) - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu^-} \lambda(k_i^- x_i^-) = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu^+} k_i^+ \cdot T_{i^+} - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu^-} k_i^- \cdot T_{i^-}.$$

We call $\mathcal{M}_A(\mathbb{R}\times\widetilde{M}; g, m+\nu^+ +\nu^-, \mathbf{k}^-, \mathbf{k}^+)$ a holomorphic rubber block in $\mathbb{R}\times\widetilde{M}$.

Using the holomorphic blocks in M^+ and the holomorphic rubber blocks in $\mathbb{R}\times\widetilde{M}$ we can get the compactified moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_A(M,\mathcal{F}; g, m+\nu, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}, \overrightarrow{\eta})$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_A(M, L; g, m+\nu, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}, \overrightarrow{\mu})$ (see [16]).

6. **Contact invariants and Open string invariants**

When the transversality fails we need to take the stabilization (we use the terminology ”regularization” in this paper). By a standard regularization procedure (see [20, 6, 4, 5, 15]) we get a finite dimensional virtual orbifold system

$$\{(U_I, E_I, \sigma_I) | I \subset \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}\}$$

indexed by a partially ordered set $(I = 2^{\{1,2,\cdots,n\}}, \subset)$. Under some technical condition we can show that $\{U_{I,\epsilon}(M^+)\}$ is oriented, and the top strata of U_I is a smooth orbifold.

Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_I\}$ be a partition of unity and $\{\Theta_I\}$ be a virtual Euler form of $\{E_I\}$ such that $\Lambda_I\Theta_I$ is compactly supported in $U_{I,\epsilon}$. The contact invariant can be defined as

$$\Psi^{(C)}_{(A,g,m+\nu,\overrightarrow{\eta})}(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m; \beta_{m+1}, ..., \beta_{m+\nu}) = \sum_I \int_{U_{I,\epsilon}} \prod_i e_i^*\alpha_i \wedge \prod_j e_j^*\beta_j \wedge \Lambda_I\Theta_I. \tag{40}$$

for $\alpha_i \in H^*(M^+, \mathbb{R})$ and $\beta_j \in H^*(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$ represented by differential form. Clearly, $\Psi^C = 0$ if $\sum \deg(\alpha_i) + \sum \deg(\beta_i) \neq Ind^C$.

Similarly, the open string invariant can be defined as

$$\Psi^{(L)}_{(A,g,m+\nu,\overrightarrow{\mu})}(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m; \beta_{m+1}, ..., \beta_{m+\nu}) = \sum_I \int_{U_{I,\epsilon}} \prod_i e_i^*\alpha_i \wedge \prod_j e_j^*\beta_j \wedge \Lambda_I\Theta_I. \tag{41}$$

for $\alpha_i \in H^*(M^+, \mathbb{R})$ and $\beta_j \in H^*(L, \mathbb{R})$. Clearly, $\Psi^{(L)} = 0$ if $\sum \deg(\alpha_i) \neq Ind^L$.

We consider the open manifold $\mathbb{R}\times\widetilde{M}$. By the same method above we can define the local contact invariants $\Psi^{(C,\ell)}_{(A,g,m+\nu,\overrightarrow{\eta})}$ and local open string invariants $\Psi^{(L,\ell)}_{(A,g,m+\nu,\overrightarrow{\mu})}$.

It is proved that these integrals are independent of the choices of Θ_I and the regularization (see [6]), if they exist. The key issue is the convergence of the integrals near each lower strata.

We use the gluing argument. We only consider the one nodal case, for general cases the proof is the same. Let $b = (u_1, u_2; \Sigma_1 \wedge \Sigma_2, j_1, j_2)$, where (Σ_1, j_1) and (Σ_2, j_2) are smooth Riemann surfaces of genus g_1 and g_2 joining at q and $u_i : \Sigma_i \to M$ are J-holomorphic with $u_1(q) = u_2(q)$. We use the holomorphic cylindrical coordinates (s_i, t_i) near q. In terms of the holomorphic cylindrical coordinates we write

$$\Sigma_1 - \{q\} = \Sigma_{10} \bigcup \{[0, \infty) \times S^1\},$$

$$\Sigma_2 - \{q\} = \Sigma_{20} \bigcup \{(-\infty, 0] \times S^1\}.$$

For any gluing parameter r we construct a surface $\Sigma_r = \Sigma_1 \#_r \Sigma_2$. Then we glue the map (u_1, u_2) to get the pregluing maps u_r, a family of approximate J-holomorphic maps. Denote by $Q_b : L^{p,\alpha} \to K_b \times W^{1,p,\alpha}$ a right inverse of $D\mathcal{S}_b$. Then $D\mathcal{S}_{b_{(r)}}$ is surjective for r large enough. Moreover, there is a right inverses $Q_{b_{(r)}}$. By implicit function theorem, there exists a small open set O of $0 \in Ker\ D\mathcal{S}_{b_{(r)}}$ and a unique smooth map

$$f_{(r)} : O \to L^{p,\alpha}_r(u^*_{(r)}TM \otimes \wedge^{0,1})$$

such that for any $(\kappa, h) \in O$

$$\bar{\partial}_J \exp_{u_{(r)}}(\zeta) + \kappa_v = 0,$$

where

$$(\kappa_v, \zeta) = ((\kappa, h) + Q_{b_{(r)}} \circ f_{(r)}(\kappa, h)).$$

Put

$$E_1 := KerD\mathcal{S}_{u_1}, \;\; E_2 := KerD\mathcal{S}_{u_2}, \quad \mathbb{H} = T_qM,$$

Denote

$$KerD\mathcal{S}_b := E_1 \bigoplus_{\mathbb{H}} E_2.$$

We can prove that when r large enough there is an isomorphism

$$I_r : KerD\mathcal{S}_b \longrightarrow KerD\mathcal{S}_{b_{(r)}}.$$

Then we get a gluing map $I_r(\kappa,\zeta) + Q_{b_{(r)}} \circ f_{(r)} \circ I_r(\kappa,\zeta)$ from $O_{j_1} \times O_{j_2} \times O$ into the moduli space, where O is a neighborhood of 0 in $KerD\mathcal{S}_b$, O_{j_k} is a neighborhood of j_k, $k = 1, 2$. Then $((j_1, j_2), r, \tau)$ is a local coordinate system in Delingne-Mumford space. We may choose $((j_1, j_2), r, \tau, \kappa, \zeta)$ as a local coordinate system in the moduli space. Using the same method in [17] we can get the following

Theorem 6.1. *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be a fixed integer. There exists positive constants $\mathcal{C}, \hbar, R_0$ and $\alpha < \frac{1}{16e^4}$ such that for any $(\kappa,\zeta) \in KerD\mathcal{S}_b$ with $\|(\kappa,\zeta)\| < \hbar$ the following estimates hold*

(I) $$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(I_r(\kappa,\zeta) + Q_{b_{(r)}} \circ f_{(r)} \circ I_r(\kappa,\zeta) \right) \right\|_{1,p,\alpha,r} \le \mathcal{C} e^{-\alpha \frac{lr}{8}}.$$

(II) *Restricting to the compact set $\{|s_i| \le R_0\}$, we have*

$$\left| \pi'_2 \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(I_r(\kappa,\zeta) + Q_{b_{(r)}} \circ f_{(r)} \circ I_r(\kappa,\zeta) \right) \right| \le \mathcal{C} e^{-\alpha \frac{lr}{8}},$$

where $\pi'_2(\kappa, h) := h$ for any $(\kappa, h) \in K_{b_{(r)}} \times \mathcal{W}^{1,p,\alpha}_r(\Sigma_{(r)}; u^*_{(r)}TM)$.

Corollary 6.2. *Let (κ,ζ) be as in Theorem 6.1, denote*

$$I_r(\kappa,\zeta) + Q_{b(r)} \circ f_{(r)}(I_r(\kappa,\zeta)) = (\kappa_r, h_{(r)}).$$

Then, restricting to the compact set $\{|s_i| \le R_0\}$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \exp_{u_{(r)}} h_{(r)} \right| \le \mathcal{C} e^{-\alpha \frac{lr}{8}}. \tag{42}$$

We use this estimate to show the convergence of the integrals (40), (41).

7. **Weinstein Conjecture**

The local contact invariants $\Psi^{(C,\ell)}_{(A,g,m+\nu,\overrightarrow{\eta})}$ and local open string invariants $\Psi^{(L,\ell)}_{(A,g,m+\nu,\overrightarrow{\mu})}$ can be used to study the Weinstein Conjecture. In particular, if $\Psi^{(C,\ell)}_{(A,g,m+\nu,\overrightarrow{\eta})} \neq 0$, there is a perturbed J-holomorphic map (κ, v) with finite energy, which satisfies

$$\bar{\partial}_J v + P_{u;v}\kappa = 0.$$

Note that κ supports in a compact set, so there is a finite energy J-holomorphic map into $\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{M}$. By the Theorem 2.1, there is a periodic orbit of the Reeb vector field on $\widetilde{M}$. The argument holds also for $\Psi^{(L,\ell)}_{(A,g,m+\nu,\overrightarrow{\mu})} \neq 0$. Thus we have

Theorem 7.1. *The following hold*

(1) *If* $\Psi^{(C,\ell)}_{(A,g,m+\nu,\overrightarrow{\eta})} \neq 0$ *then there is a periodic orbit of the Reeb vector field on* $\widetilde{M}$*;*

(2) *If* $\Psi^{(L,\ell)}_{(A,g,m+\nu,\overrightarrow{\mu})} \neq 0$ *then there is a periodic orbit of the Reeb vector field on* $\widetilde{M}$*.*

REFERENCES

[1] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, C. Vafa, Disk instantons, mirror symmetry and the duality web, Z. Naturforsch. A 57(2002), 1C28.

[2] M. Aganagic, C. Vafa, Mirror Symmetry, D-Branes and Counting Holomorphic Discs. arXiv:hep-th/0012041.

[3] Bourgeois, F., Eliashberg, Y., Hofer, H., Wysocki, K., Zehnder, E., *Compactness results in symplectic field theory,* Geom. Topol. 7(2003), 799-888.

[4] B. Chen, A. Li and B. Wang, Virtual neighborhood technique for pseudo-holomorphic spheres, arXiv:1306.3276.

[5] B. Chen, A. Li and B. Wang, Gluing principle for orbifold stratified spaces,arXiv:1502.05103.

[6] B. Chen and G. Tian, Virtual manifolds and Localization, Acta Math. Sinica, 26(2010), 1-24.

[7] S. K, Donaldson, Floer homology groups in Yang-Mills theory. With the assistance of M. Furuta and D. Kotschick. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 147. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. viii+236 pp.

[8] B. Fang and C.-C. M. Liu, Open Gromov-Witten invariants of toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds, Comm. Math. Phys. 323(2013), no. 1, 285-328.

[9] M. Gromov, Pseudo holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds, Invent. math., 82(1985), 307-347.

[10] H. Hofer, Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectizations with applications to the weinstein conjecture in dimension three, Invent. Math. 114(1993), 515-563.

[11] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, E. Zehnder,Properties of pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectisations. I. Asymptotics. Ann. Inst. H. Poincar Anal. Non Linaire 13(1996), no. 3, 337-379.

[12] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, E. Zehnder, Properties of pseudo-holomorphic curves in symplectisations. II. Embedding controls and algebraic invariants. Geom. Funct. Anal. 5(1995), no. 2, 270-328.

[13] S. Katz, C.-C.M. Liu, Enumerative geometry of stable maps with Lagrangian boundary conditions and multiple covers of the disc. The interaction of finite-type and Gromov-Witten invariants (BIRS 2003), 1-47, Geom. Topol. Monogr., 8, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2006.

[14] J. Li, C.-C.M. Liu,K.Liu and J.Zhou, A mathematical theory of the topological vertex, Geom. Topol.13(2009), no.1,527-621.

[15] A-M. Li and Y. Ruan, Symplectic surgery and Gromov-Witten invariants of Calabi-Yau 3-folds, Invent. Math.145(2001), 151-218

[16] A-M. Li and Li Sheng, Relative invariants, contact geometry and open string invariants, arXiv:1501.01094.

[17] A-M. Li and L. Sheng, The Exponential Decay of Gluing Maps and Gromov-Witten Invariants, arXiv:1506.06333.

[18] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, J-holomorphic curves and quantum cohomology, University Lec. Series, vol. 6, AMS.

[19] Y. Ruan, Surgery, quantum cohomology and birational geometry, Northern California Symplectic Geometry Seminar, 183-198, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 196.

[20] Y. Ruan, Virtual neighborhoods and pseudo-holomorphic curves, Turkish Jour. of Math. 1(1999), 161-231.

[21] Y. Ruan, G. Tian, A mathematical theory of quantum cohomology. J. Diff Geom. 42(1995),259-367.

[22] H. Ooguri, C. Vafa, Knot invariants and topological strings. Nuclear Phys. B 577 (2000), no. 3, 419-438.